IFW



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Examiner: Javaid H. Nasri

Docket No.: 0022-3480

Art Unit: 2839

The U.S. Patent Application of

Masayuki SAGO et al.

Serial No.: 10/713,123

Filed: November 14, 2003

For: Connector Adapter with Memory Function Unit

Mail Stop ex parte Quayle Action Commissioner of Patents – U.S. Patent Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

> Attn: Examiner Javaid H. Nasri Art Unit: 2839

LETTER TO THE EXAMINER

SIR:

According to our telephone conferences with you about the above referenced patent application, we forward herewith a copy of our Response to ex parte Quayle Action mailed February 10, 2005. As we discussed with you on the telephone, this Action issued on November 10, 2004, with due date of January 10, 2005. We send our response on February 10, 2005, and requested that the one-month extension be charged to our deposit account 10-0100. We included the following materials in our response (copies are attached hereto):

- (1) "Response to ex parte Quayle Action," stating that the Declaration has been corrected; traversing the requirement as to Figures 5A and 5B; and amending the specification and claims as required. In the Remarks the traversal is argued (pp. 7-8).
 - (2) The corrected Declaration document.
- (3) Copies of initial pages of the references cited by the Examiner as "prior art," Japanese Pat. Appln. 2002-5642 (in Japanese) and PCT/JP03/00082 (in English and Japanese).
 - (4) Our return card, which was not, in fact, returned to our office.

U.S. Patent Application of SAGO et al. – Serial No.: 10/713,123

Art Unit: 2839 - Docket No.: 0022-3480

When it had been a number of weeks since our Response had been mailed, and we had still not heard back from the U.S. Patent Office, we checked the eCommerce PAIR site to make sure that our filing had been received. Our Response was not listed at that site. We then called Examiner Nasri to find out what could be done to correct the situation. Examiner Nasri stated that we should make a copy of all the materials filed on February 10, 2005, and mail them again to him at Mailstop ex parte Quayle. He stated that at that point they could be considered as fulfilling the final requirements in this application's prosecution and the case could move on to allowance and issuance.

It is believed that this case is in condition for allowance. Early allowance and issuance is therefore respectfully solicited.

Applicant hereby petitions that any and all extensions of time of the term necessary to render this response timely be granted. Costs for such extension(s) AND/OR ANY OTHER FEE DUE WITH THIS FEE DUE WITH THIS PAPER THAT ARE NOT FULLY COVERED BY AN ENCLOSED CHECK MAY BE CHARGED TOEPOSIT ACCOUNT #10-0100.

Date: April 25, 2005

Lackenbach Siegel LLP One Chase Road Scarsdale, NY 10583 Telephone: 914 723 4300

MG/as

٠...

Respectfully submitted,

LACKENBACH SIEGEL LLP
Attorneys for Applicant(s)

Customer No. 28752

MYRON GREENSPAN, Reg. No.: 25,680

Certificate of Deposit by Mail: I hereby certify that this correspondence is being filed by depositing same in an envelope stamped first-class mail, addressed to the Commissioner of Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, in a duly marked U.S. Postal Service drop box, with appropriate postage, on

the following date: Myron Greenspan

damb 1

Signature / April 25, 2005

-2-



BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Honorable Director of U.S. Patent	Docket No.: 0022-3480
and Trademark Office	Serial No.: 10/7/3/23
Washington, D.C. 20231	Resp. Due: 2/10/2005 Date Sent: 2/10/2005
Sir	and 10101
Kindly acknowledge receipt of the accompanying /	es my in Sheets of Dwgs.
Month(s) Ext. of Time Wasigned Decl.][
Amendment Signed Decl.	☐ Informal Dwgs. ☐ Notice of Appeal
Response to Final Out of 13 \$120.2 Charge	ليال
Appeal Brief ACHAN Issue Fee	Other
Dipority Doc./Claim letter Discl. Statement (IDS)	ent (IDS)
Cert.	
37 CFR 1.10 —— No.	- No. of Kets.
Cert. No.	
hy placing your receiving date stamp hereon and mailing.	lling.
Uy piacinis jour receiving and for check/charge	Sign